



MAILING ADDRESS:  
**Brown Township**  
 2491 Walker Rd.  
 Hilliard, OH 43026

OFFICE PHONE:  
 (614) 876-2133

OFFICE FAX:  
 (614) 876-2421

ELECTED OFFICIALS:  
**Gary Dever, Trustee**  
**Pamela Sayre, Trustee**  
**Joe Martin, Trustee**  
**Greg Ruwe, Fiscal Officer**

FIREHOUSE  
 TELEPHONE:  
 (614) 527-6390

*Call 911 for Emergencies*

MEETINGS:

Held at 7:00 p.m. on the 3rd Monday of each month in the upstairs meeting room of the Brown Township Firehouse at 2491 Walker Road, Hilliard, Ohio

Other meetings, when held, are advertised at least 24 hours in advance with time and location.

Zoning:

By Franklin County [\[website\]](#)

## Raw Data

[Welcome](#)

[Contact Us](#)

[Search](#)

[Area Services](#)

[Back](#)

[Learn about the Big Darby Accord](#)

**1 = Strongly Disagree**

**6 = Strongly Agree**

Statement Number	Average Value Responders	Estimated average value of Nonresponders	Adjusted Resident Response	CONTROL ISSUES
31	5.25	5.83	5.37	The Township should collaborate with others to preserve open landscape.
22	5.19	5.83	5.32	Preservation of historic/cultural features is important.
30	5.34	5.17	5.31	Communities such as Brown Township have no obligation to provide affordable housing opportunities.
4	4.51	5.4	4.69	Septic systems provide a viable option for continued residential development.

46	4.22	5	4.38	Neighborhoods that include open landscape for residents would help maintain rural character.
20	4.33	4.5	4.36	The Township is growing too fast.
27	3.38	4.5	3.6	Current zoning regulations should be adequate to control new rural residential development in Brown Township.
6	3.47	3.25	3.43	Centralized sewer connections should be the preferred method of sewer treatment for all new housing development in the Township.
13	2.71	2	2.57	Cluster developments with lots of open landscape should be considered.
33	2.55	2.6	2.56	Control of architectural design of new development is not necessary.
36	2.14	2.17	2.15	Economic value should be the only consideration for determining zoning in the Township.
28	2.29	1.17	2.07	Developers should be rewarded with density bonuses (more homes per acre) if they preserve sensitive areas as open landscape.
40	2	1.6	1.92	We do not need zoning to guide the market for land use in the Township.
8	1.67	1	1.54	Growth control is not necessary for Brown Township.

**1 = Strongly Disagree**

**6 = Strongly Agree**

Statement Number	Average Value Responders	Estimated average value of Nonresponders	Adjusted Resident Response	DEVELOPMENT ISSUES
------------------	--------------------------	--	----------------------------	--------------------

45	5.47	6	5.58	The Township should maintain its current rural environment.
38	5.31	6	5.45	Living near undeveloped open land is important to me.
29	5.25	5.17	5.23	Keeping large scale (subdivisions greater than 20 homes) developers out of this Township is important to me.
16	5.2	5.17	5.19	Annexation is a threat to the Township.
71	4.84	6	5.07	Children able to walk safely to school.
32	5.04	5	5.03	Development to the east (Columbus Metropolitan area) poses a direct threat to the future of the Township.
15	4.86	5.33	4.95	If farmland is to be developed, the most desirable land use is large residential lots (i.e. >5 acres).
37	4.89	5.17	4.95	Large residential lots (5 acres +) is the best way to maintain the Township's rural character.
82	5.04	4.5	4.93	Amount of commercial development in the Township.
35	5.16	4	4.93	Landowners have the right to sell to the highest bidder.
42	4.85	5.17	4.91	Maximizing my property value is important to me.
17	4.91	4.33	4.79	Commercial development within the Township should not be encouraged.
3	4.81	4.67	4.78	Business development is not necessary for Brown Township.
11	4.38	4.4	4.38	High quality development within the Township will enhance my property value.

49	4.05	4	4.04	Golf course developments can be disruptive to the natural environment.
63	4.09	3.8	4.03	Neighborhood schools within the Township.
53	3.45	3	3.36	Golf course developments should be considered positive amenities.
34	2.61	3.4	2.77	Land application of treated sewage effluent is an acceptable alternative to centralized sewer.
41	2.06	1.5	1.95	The Township should improve the existing tax base by encouraging commercial/retail.

**1 = Strongly Disagree**

**6 = Strongly Agree**

Statement Number	Average Value Responders	Estimated average value of Nonresponders	Adjusted Resident Response	
44	5.6	6	5.68	The Big Darby Creek should be protected.
48	5.54	6	5.63	Preservation of open landscape is important.
66	5.43	6	5.54	Maintaining the Darby Creek in as natural a setting as possible.
67	5.34	6	5.47	Protecting wildlife along the Darby Creek.
70	5.02	5.4	5.1	Natural forested buffers along streams.

61	5.1	5	5.08	Maintaining a forested buffer along the Darby Creek.
72	4.65	4.83	4.69	Hiking/walking trails along the Darby Creek.
64	4.48	5.5	4.68	Being able to go fishing in the Darby Creek.
59	4.38	5.4	4.58	Passive natural parks (natural areas with trail access).
65	4.32	5.5	4.56	Canoeing down the Darby Creek.
60	4.29	5.4	4.51	Increasing the biodiversity in the Darby Creek.
62	3.99	4.75	4.14	My family being able to picnic along the banks of the Darby Creek.
69	3.51	5	3.81	Seeing children playing along the Darby Creek.
58	3.35	4.6	3.6	Being able to wade/swim in the Darby Creek.
55	3.21	4.6	3.49	The Township would not benefit from more parks.
7	3.48	3.5	3.48	Golf courses are natural resource conservation areas.
14	2.81	1	2.45	The Darby Creek already has sufficient safeguards to ensure lasting protection.
51	1.87	1.33	1.76	Environmental responsibility should not be a primary consideration for new development.

**1 = Strongly Disagree**

**6 = Strongly Agree**

Statement	Average	Estimated	Adjusted	FARMLAND ISSUES
-----------	---------	-----------	----------	-----------------

Number	Value Responders	average value of Nonresponders	Resident Response	
54	4.59	6	4.87	Productive farm ground in the Township should be protected from nonagricultural development.
26	4.89	5.83	5.08	Farmland preservation should be a preferred land use.
56	4.5	4	4.4	Agricultural drainage systems should be available to assist residential drainage in the Township.
21	3.87	2.67	3.63	A purchase of development rights (PDR) program should be considered for the Township.
25	3.32	3	3.26	Farmers should not use chemicals near any residential dwelling.
52	3.17	3.6	3.26	Current Agricultural Use Value (CAUV) tax assessment unfairly shifts property tax burden from farmers to other property owners.
50	2.82	3.17	2.89	Brown Township should consider a tax levy to assist in the preservation of rural character.
19	2.96	1.75	2.72	"Farm Village" developments should be considered for Brown Township.
57	2.68	2	2.54	Farm equipment should only travel on the roads when traffic is light.
5	2.03	1	1.82	There should be restrictions on farmers operating in the fields late at night near residential dwellings.

**1 = Strongly Disagree**

**6 = Strongly Agree**

Statement	Average	Estimated	Adjusted	SATISFACTION ISSUES
-----------	---------	-----------	----------	---------------------

Number	Value Responders	average value of Nonresponders	Resident Response	
1	5.68	5.83	5.71	Brown Township is a nice place to live.
74	5.6	5.6	5.6	Fire Protection Service.
80	5.4	5.67	5.45	Distance to commercial activities.
79	4.89	5.33	4.98	Road maintenance.
43	4.87	5.33	4.96	Brown Township has a strong community character.
18	4.64	5.67	4.85	Water pollution is a concern in the Township.
75	4.54	5.8	4.79	Open Landscape currently available for common use.
81	4.71	4.8	4.73	Number of parks for recreational activities.
78	4.67	4.8	4.7	Police Protection Service.
47	4.52	5	4.62	Additional bike paths should be designated within the Township.
77	4.27	4.2	4.26	Current public access to natural open landscape areas in the Township.
83	4.41	3.4	4.21	Current development pattern in the Township.
73	4.19	3.83	4.12	Traffic congestion in the Township.
23	3.51	3.4	3.49	There is nothing unique to Brown Township's evolving development patterns.

76	3.15	4.17	3.35	Efficiency of storm water drainage systems.
39	3.28	3	3.22	Bicycle traffic is not a problem on the Township roads.
24	2.79	4	3.03	Storm water drainage is not a problem in my area of the Township.

**1 = Strongly Disagree**

**6 = Strongly Agree**

Statement Number	Average Value Responders	Estimated average value of Nonresponders	Adjusted Resident Response	VILLAGE / CLUSTER ISSUES
68	5.34	6	5.47	Neighborhoods designed to protect the rural character of the Township.
10	4.66	5.5	4.83	A village center would only encourage more development in the Township.
2	3.29	1.25	2.88	Alternatives to large lot development should be considered.
12	2.73	1.83	2.55	A village center would be a beneficial amenity for the Township .
9	2.43	2.2	2.38	Some type of commercial neighborhood development in the Township would be beneficial.



This is the official Brown Township website and is Copyright © Brown Township, Franklin County, Ohio, USA.

Page updated November 13, 2016 Please send comments or questions about the website to the [Joe\\_Martin@Brown.Twp.Franklin.oh.us](mailto:Joe_Martin@Brown.Twp.Franklin.oh.us).

WebMail